Technical Report Documentation Page

1. REPORT No.
W.O. AB 10-002-C

2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION No.

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG No.

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
A Report of Preliminary Corrosion Survey at the Sierra Branch Conservation Center

5. REPORT DATE
November 1962

6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION

7. AUTHOR(S)
Maxwell, W.S. and A.F. Andrade

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT No.
W.O. AB 10-002-C
Lab. Auth. 72-Q-6282

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS
State of California
Department of Public Works
Division of Highways
Materials and Research Department

10. WORK UNIT No.

11. CONTRACT OR GRANT No.

12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS

13. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED

14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

On July 6, 1962, Mr. Aldo Crestetto, Civil Engineer Supervisor, Division of Architecture, requested by letter that the Materials and Research Department perform a soil resistivity survey at the proposed site of the Sierra Branch Conservation Center located near Sonora, Tuolumne County, California.

Representatives of the Materials and Research Department performed the preliminary corrosion survey on September 6, 1962, and the results are included in this report.

II. Summary and Conclusions

Moderately corrosive soils were found at the proposed site. It is estimated from an empirical corrosion test that a bare 3/4" steel pipe could be perforated by corrosion in the low resistivity soils in approximately 20 years.

The electrical resistivity of the soils at this site indicates that it is moderately corrosive to underground steel pipe.

As noted on Exhibit I, the areas where high resistivity soils are adjacent to low resistivity soils will result in a corrosive environment to steel pipe; therefore, cathodic protection of underground steel pipe is recommended.

17. KEYWORDS

18. No. OF PAGES:
7

19. DRI WEBSITE LINK
http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/research/researchreports/1961-1963/62-01.pdf

20. FILE NAME
62-01.pdf

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

A REPORT OF A PRELIMINARY CORROSION SURVEY AT THE SIERRA BRANCH CONSERVATION CENTER

November 1962



62-01

State of California
Department of Public Works
Division of Highways
Materials and Research Department

November 1962

W. O. AB 10-002-C

Lab. Auth. 72-Q-6282

Mr. E. W. Hampton
Acting State Architect
Division of Architecture
Sacramento, California

Attention: Mr. Aldo Crestetto, Civil Engineer Supervisor

Dear Sir:

Submitted for your consideration is:

A REPORT OF

A PRELIMINARY CORROSION SURVEY

AT THE SIERRA BRANCH CONSERVATION CENTER

Study made by . . . . . Structural Materials Section
Under general direction of . . . . . J. L. Beaton
Work supervised by . . . . . R. F. Stratfull
Report prepared by . . . . . W. S. Maxwell and R. F. Stratfull
Field work by . . . . . W. S. Maxwell and A. F. Andrade

Very truly yours,

F. N. Hveem
Materials and Research Engineer

By John L Beaton
J. L. Beaton
Asst. Materials and Research Engr.

RFS/WSM:mw

I. INTRODUCTION

On July 6, 1962, Mr. Aldo Crestetto, Civil Engineer Supervisor, Division of Architecture, requested by letter that the Materials and Research Department perform a soil resistivity survey at the proposed site of the Sierra Branch Conservation Center located near Sonora, Tuolumne County, California.

Representatives of the Materials and Research Department performed the preliminary corrosion survey on September 6, 1962, and the results are included in this report.

II. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Moderately corrosive soils were found at the proposed site. It is estimated from an empirical corrosion test that a bare 3/4" steel pipe could be perforated by corrosion in the low resistivity soils in approximately 20 years.

The electrical resistivity of the soils at this site indicates that it is moderately corrosive to underground steel pipe.

As noted on Exhibit I, the areas where high resistivity soils are adjacent to low resistivity soils will result in a corrosive environment to steel pipe; therefore, cathodic protection of underground steel pipe is recommended.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Wherever economically and mechanically possible, underground pipe and conduit be nonmetallic.

2. That cathodic protection be applied to underground steel pipe at the time of the construction of the facility.

3. All steel pipe placed underground shall be coated in accordance with the Standard Specifications for Mechanical Work, dated 1960, Division of Architecture.

4. All steel pipe placed underground shall be electrically continuous and electrically bonded together by a pipe connection or an AWG #2 TW Jumper Wire.

5. All underground steel pipe that makes an ingress into any building shall be electrically insulated from any reinforcing steel or other metals within the structure.

6. Where steel pipe enters a building through a riser that is atmospherically exposed, an electrical insulating device shall be placed in the section of pipe that is exposed to the atmosphere. This location will also be prior to the point of entry of the pipe through the building wall or floor.

7. At locations where buried steel pipe enters a building, the following shall apply:

   A. The wall, footing or slab shall contain a nonmetallic pipe sleeve as described in Section 2M, article 2M-22-d of the Standard Specifications for Mechanical Work.

   B. Within six (6) inches of the floor or wall of the structure, an electrical insulating device shall be placed in the pipe. A warning sign in the form of a copper bearing metal tag labeled "Do Not Electrically Bond Across this Fitting" shall be attached to the pipe where the insulating device is installed.

8. No steel pipe which is to be installed beneath concrete slabs shall lie within 12" of the slab or aggregate base material except at locations where the pipe rises to enter a building or other structure.

9. All electrical insulating devices that are installed in underground pipe shall be installed with wires that are attached to the pipe so that performance of the insulator may be checked without excavation, etc.

10. At all underground locations that steel pipes cross, but are not in mechanical contact, a jumper wire shall be installed to electrically bond the pipes.

11. Where steel pipes are placed within 5 feet of each other and are on a parallel alignment, a jumper wire shall be installed every 1,000 feet to electrically connect the pipes.

12. The joints of cast iron pipe shall be so constructed that each length of pipe shall be electrically insulated from its adjacent section.

13. All underground electrical conduit is to be made of non-metallic materials.

14. All underground telephone cables shall be coated with a reinforced neoprene jacket.

15. All underground conduit shall be free-draining so as to remain free of standing water.

16. Calcium chloride or other chloride bearing additives shall not be added to concrete containing reinforcing steel or radiant heating systems in excess of 0.02 pounds per cubic yard.

17. Within 30 days after the contract for construction is let, the contractor shall notify in writing all major utility companies in the area of the State's intentions to cathodically protect the underground pipe.

18. Electrical insulating couplings shall be placed in the piping at the following locations:

    A. At all connections between State piping and those of private utilities.

    B. At all connections of copper to steel pipe.

19. No piping placed in the same excavation shall lie across or otherwise be in mechanical or electrical contact with other pipe except at designated locations.

20. Where mechanically feasible, use a nonmetallic pipe.

21. Do not ground electrical system to underground utility pipe.

22. All electrical ground wires that are within underground metallic conduit shall have a TW coating or equal.

23. All water wells should be electrically connected to the distribution lines and placed under cathodic protection.

24. All coated pipe shall be backfilled with sand with minimum cover of 3" all around.

IV. TESTS

Soil

The soil resistivity measurements of the site are plotted on Exhibit I, Equi-Resistivity Contour Plan. The soil resistivity taken in the field ranged from 1725 ohm-cm to 27,600 ohm-cm. The average resistivity of the soil at the site was 5,500 ohm-cm.

Laboratory tests were performed on soil samples obtained from selected locations at the site. Results of these tests are as follows:

Sample No.	1	2
Sand Equivalent (S.E.)	6	10
Resistivity ohm-cm	1920	4600
pH	6.5	7.5
Corrosivity	20	50
Chlorides PPM	6	36
Sulfates PPM	Nil	Nil

NOTE: A sand equivalent (S.E.) of 0 represents a clay soil and an S.E. of 100 is clean sand. Corrosivity represents the years to perforation of a 3/4" bare steel pipe.

Based upon the test values, it is recommended that backfill soil for underground metal structures shall be a sandy soil in which the tested sand equivalent value shall not be less than 30, the minimum specific electrical resistivity not less than 2000 ohm-cm, and the pH not less than 6.5.

V. CORROSION CONTROL

The cathodic protection of the underground facilities at this site can be accomplished in the following manner:

Phase I

At the completion of the installation of the underground pipe at the Sierra Branch Conservation Center site, tests should be performed to determine the economics of using impressed or galvanic currents for corrosion control.

Design of the cathodic protection system should be based upon field tests of the existing facilities.

A preliminary cost estimate of the cathodic protection facilities can be made when working drawings are available. However, the actual design of the system will require a field test of the in-place facilities.

Phase II

Install required cathodic protection facilities.